

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/852,336	05/08/2001	James Duncan Work	4938P001	4814
8791	7590 02/25/20	05	EXAM	INER
	SOKOLOFF TAY	CHEA, PHILIP J		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-103	0	2153	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. WORK, JAMES DUNCAN 09/852,336 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Philip J Chea 2153 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Tarek Fahmi 41402 and Nathan Elder 55150. (1) Philip J Chea. (2) William Vaughn. (4)Duncan Work. Date of Interview: 03 February 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: proposed amendment faxed 1/31/05. Claim(s) discussed: 76,86 and 102. Identification of prior art discussed: Weinreich et al. (US 6,175,831), Gilmour et al. (US 6,115,709), Walker et al. (US 5,884,270). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Fahmi discussed the proposed amendment received 1/31/5 and how it differed from the prior art rejection. Claim language was also elaborated to provide a clearer understanding of the invention. The examiner stated that further clarification regarding the access control features, "inter-person" feature as well as the "specified connections". Further discussion of these features would be discussed 2/4/05. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY

SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94086

(408) 720-8598 (Telephone) (408) 720-9397 (Facsimile)

A LIMITED LIABILITY
PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING
LAW CORPORATIONS

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Deliver to: Examiner Philip J. Chea					
Firm Name: USPTO					
Fax Number:(571) 273-3951					
From: Nathan Elder					
Date: February 4, 2005	Time:				
Operator: NPE	Matter: _7176P001				
Number of pages including cover sheet: 2					
Message:					
Serial No. 09/852,336					
Filed May 8, 2001					
Title: Method and Apparatus for Internet-Based Human Network Brokering					
Attached is a draft of a new claim for discussion purposes.					
Conference Phone Number: 1-866-383-3067 (Room Code: 9187)					
00					

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman that is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

IF YOU EXPERIENCE ANY DIFFICULTY IN RECEIVING THE ABOVE PAGES, PLEASE CALL (408) 720-8598 AND ASK FOR THE OPERATOR NAMED ABOVE.

shared with others.

1. (New) A computer-implemented method, comprising reporting matches to searches initiated by a searcher so long as access control criteria are met, the matches including potential targets satisfying one or more search criteria defined for the searches, and the access control criteria (i) being selectably controllable by any of one or more persons in one or more chains of person-to-person relationships connecting the searcher and the potential targets, and (ii) defining attributes of such one or more persons and such persons' contacts that may be

4

Applicant(s) Application No. 09/852,336 WORK, JAMES DUNCAN Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2153 Philip J Chea All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Nathan Elder 55150. (1) Philip J Chea. (2) William Vaughn. (4)Duncan Work. Date of Interview: 04 February 2005. Type: a) \square Telephonic b) \square Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ✓ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: proposed claim faxed 2/4/05. Claim(s) discussed: newly added claim. Identification of prior art discussed: Weinreich et al. (US 6,175,831). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Elder and Mr. Work, elaborated on the access control criteria found in the invention. The proposed amendment would possibly overcome the present rejections. However, the examiner indicated the right to utilize any reference in a different form of a rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

60 South Market Street, Suite 510

408 947 3280

San Jose, California 95113

(408) 947-8200 (Telephone) (408) 947-8280 (Facsimile)

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING LAW CORPORATIONS

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Deliver to:	Examiner Philip J. Chea	
Firm Name:	USPTO	
Fax Number:	571-273-3951	Telephone No.:
From: Nat	han Elder	
Date: Jai	nuary 31, 2005	Time:
Operator: sde		Matter: 7176P003
	ages including cover sh	
Message:		
RE:		
Serial No.:	09/852,336	
Filed: Mav	8, 2001	

Title: Method and Apparatus for Internet-Based Human Network Brokering

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman that is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.